



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/589,563

08/16/2006

Katsuhiro Kawakami

Q96599

9484

23373 7590 11/19/2008  
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC  
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.  
SUITE 800  
WASHINGTON, DC 20037

EXAMINER

ZAREK, PAUL E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1617

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/19/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/589,563	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KAWAKAMI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Paul Zarek	<b>Art Unit</b> 1617	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 and 19-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 and 19-23 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____.                          |

Art Unit: 1617

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Status of the Claims*

1. Claim 18 has been cancelled by the Applicant in correspondence filed on 08/16/2006.

Claims 1-17 and 19-23 are currently pending.

### *Restrictions*

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-17 and 19-21, drawn to a compound of formula (I) wherein both  $X^1$  and  $X^2$  are C, and  $R^2$  is not cyclized with either  $R^1$  or  $R^3$ .

Group II, claim(s) 1 and 19-21, drawn to a compound of formula (I) wherein both  $X^1$  and  $X^2$  are C, and  $R^2$  is cyclized with  $R^1$ .

Group III, claim(s) 1 and 19-21, drawn to a compound of formula (I) wherein both  $X^1$  and  $X^2$  are C, and  $R^2$  is cyclized with  $R^3$ .

Group IV, claim(s) 1-17 and 19-21, drawn to a compound of formula (I) wherein one of  $X^1$  and  $X^2$  is C and the other is N, and  $R^2$  is not cyclized with either  $R^1$  or  $R^3$ .

Group V, claim(s) 1 and 19-21, drawn to a compound of formula (I) wherein one of  $X^1$  and  $X^2$  is C and the other is N, and  $R^2$  is cyclized with  $R^1$ .

Group VI, claim(s) 1 and 19-21, drawn to a compound of formula (I) wherein one of  $X^1$  and  $X^2$  is C and the other is N, and  $R^2$  is cyclized with  $R^3$ .

Group VII, claim(s) 1-17 and 19-21, drawn to a compound of formula (I) wherein both  $X^1$  and  $X^2$  are N, and  $R^2$  is not cyclized with either  $R^1$  or  $R^3$ .

Art Unit: 1617

Group VIII, claim(s) 1 and 19-21, drawn to a compound of formula (I) wherein both  $X^1$  and  $X^2$  are N, and  $R^2$  is cyclized with  $R^1$ .

Group IX, claim(s) 1 and 19-21, drawn to a compound of formula (I) wherein both  $X^1$  and  $X^2$  are N, and  $R^2$  is cyclized with  $R^3$ .

Group X, claim(s) 1 and 19-21, drawn to a compound that is not encompassed by Groups I-IX.

Group XI, claim(s) 22 and 23, drawn to a method of treating an infection comprising the use of a compound of Group I.

Group XII, claim(s) 22 and 23, drawn to a method of treating an infection comprising the use of a compound of Group II.

Group XIII, claim(s) 22 and 23, drawn to a method of treating an infection comprising the use of a compound of Group III.

Group XIV, claim(s) 22 and 23, drawn to a method of treating an infection comprising the use of a compound of Group IV.

Group XV, claim(s) 22 and 23, drawn to a method of treating an infection comprising the use of a compound of Group V.

Group XVI, claim(s) 22 and 23, drawn to a method of treating an infection comprising the use of a compound of Group VI.

Group XVII, claim(s) 22 and 23, drawn to a method of treating an infection comprising the use of a compound of Group VII.

Group XVIII, claim(s) 22 and 23, drawn to a method of treating an infection comprising the use of a compound of Group VIII.

Group XIX, claim(s) 22 and 23, drawn to a method of treating an infection comprising the use of a compound of Group IX.

Group XX, claim(s) 22 and 23, drawn to a method of treating an infection comprising the use of a compound of Group X.

**NOTE:** Claim 23 is a use claim and is, therefore, nonstatutory. Examiner interprets Claim 23 to be a method of treatment and the claim has been restricted accordingly.

3. The inventions listed as Groups I-XX do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special

Art Unit: 1617

technical features for the following reasons: Hanna, et al. (Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology, 1994, provided in IDS) teach an embodiment of the compound of claim 1 wherein R<sup>1</sup> and R<sup>2</sup> are cyclized to form a thiazole, R<sup>3</sup> is aryl, R<sup>4</sup> is amino, X<sup>1</sup> is C-CN and X<sup>2</sup> is N. Therefore, the invention as claimed lacks a special technical feature.

### *Election of Species*

4. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- Regardless of Group elected, a further species election is requested for search purposes wherein Q, R<sup>1</sup>, R<sup>111</sup>, R<sup>121</sup>, R<sup>2</sup>, R<sup>21</sup>, R<sup>211</sup>, R<sup>212</sup>, R<sup>213</sup>, R<sup>214</sup>, R<sup>22</sup>, R<sup>221</sup>, R<sup>222</sup>, R<sup>223</sup>, R<sup>224</sup>, R<sup>3</sup>, R<sup>31</sup>, R<sup>32</sup>, R<sup>4</sup>, R<sup>41</sup>, R<sup>411</sup>, R<sup>42</sup>, R<sup>421</sup>, R<sup>43</sup>, R<sup>51</sup>, R<sup>52</sup>, R<sup>61</sup>, R<sup>62</sup>, R<sup>71</sup>, R<sup>72</sup>, R<sup>9</sup>, X, Xb, X<sup>1</sup>, X<sup>2</sup> Y<sup>1</sup>, Y<sup>2</sup>, b, n1, and n2 are defined.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 1617

5. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Groups I, IV, and VII: Claims 2-17; and,  
Groups II, III, V, VI, VIII, IX and X-XX: none.

The following claim(s) are generic:

Groups I-X: Claims 1 and 19-21; and,  
Groups XI-XX: Claims 22 and 23.

6. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Hanna, et al. (Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology, 1994, provided in IDS) teach an embodiment of the compound of claim 1 wherein  $R^1$  and  $R^2$  are cyclized to form a thiazole,  $R^3$  is aryl,  $R^4$  is amino,  $X^1$  is C-CN and  $X^2$  is N. Therefore, the invention as claimed lacks a special technical feature.

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

9. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found

Art Unit: 1617

allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.** Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Zarek whose telephone number is (571) 270-5754. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30-5:00.

Art Unit: 1617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PEZ

/Rita J. Desai/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625